

NO GUTS, ALL GLORY:  
CHARGE QUANTIZATION  
IN THE  
 $\mathbb{C}P^1$  NONLINEAR  $\sigma$ -MODEL

ARXIV:1308.????

WITH SIMEON HELLERMAN AND TSUTOMU YANAGIDA

**John Kehayias**

Kavli IPMU (WPI)  
The University of Tokyo

SUSY 2013  
Trieste, Italy  
August 26–31

# INTRODUCTION

# INTRODUCTION

- **Charge quantization** has been observed and puzzled over for many decades

# INTRODUCTION

- **Charge quantization** has been observed and puzzled over for many decades
- Dirac's **monopole** and **GUTs** quantize charge, but at a cost:

# INTRODUCTION

- **Charge quantization** has been observed and puzzled over for many decades
- Dirac's **monopole** and **GUTs** quantize charge, but at a cost:
  - Monopoles, proton decay, doublet-triplet splitting

# INTRODUCTION

- **Charge quantization** has been observed and puzzled over for many decades
- Dirac's **monopole** and **GUTs** quantize charge, but at a cost:
  - Monopoles, proton decay, doublet-triplet splitting
  - The role of **supersymmetry**?

# INTRODUCTION

- **Charge quantization** has been observed and puzzled over for many decades
- Dirac's **monopole** and **GUTs** quantize charge, but at a cost:
  - Monopoles, proton decay, doublet-triplet splitting
  - The role of **supersymmetry**?
- *Can we quantize charge without any of this extra baggage?*

Yes, charge is quantized  
in the  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  (and other) nonlinear sigma model  
*without* Grand Unification, monopoles,  
proton decay...



# SIGMA MODELS

# SIGMA MODELS

- A *sigma model* describes a **spontaneously broken** or **nonlinearly realized** symmetry

# SIGMA MODELS

- A *sigma model* describes a **spontaneously broken** or **nonlinearly realized** symmetry
- Consider a group **G** broken to a subgroup **H**

# SIGMA MODELS

- A *sigma model* describes a **spontaneously broken** or **nonlinearly realized** symmetry
- Consider a group **G** broken to a subgroup **H**
  - Matter fields transform **linearly** under **H**, but **nonlinearly** under **G**

# SIGMA MODELS

- A *sigma model* describes a **spontaneously broken** or **nonlinearly realized** symmetry
- Consider a group **G** broken to a subgroup **H**
  - Matter fields transform **linearly** under **H**, but **nonlinearly** under **G**
  - **G/H** is the target space, the fields are a map to this manifold

# SIGMA MODELS

- A *sigma model* describes a **spontaneously broken** or **nonlinearly realized** symmetry
- Consider a group **G** broken to a subgroup **H**
  - Matter fields transform **linearly** under **H**, but **nonlinearly** under **G**
  - **G/H** is the target space, the fields are a map to this manifold
  - **G** is a global symmetry, and **H** will be **gauged**

# $CP^1$ PRELIMINARIES

# $\mathbb{C}P^1$ PRELIMINARIES

- **Definition:** 2 complex coordinates with  $(z^1, z^2) \rightarrow (\lambda z^1, \lambda z^2)$



# $\mathbb{C}P^1$ PRELIMINARIES

- **Definition:** 2 complex coordinates with  $(z^1, z^2) \rightarrow (\lambda z^1, \lambda z^2)$
- *Affine coordinates:* the ratio of the homogeneous coordinates,  $\mathbf{z}_+, \mathbf{z}_-$

# $\mathbb{C}P^1$ PRELIMINARIES

- **Definition:** 2 complex coordinates with  $(z^1, z^2) \rightarrow (\lambda z^1, \lambda z^2)$
- *Affine coordinates:* the ratio of the homogeneous coordinates,  $z_+, z_-$
- As a manifold  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  is  $S^2$

# $\mathbb{C}P^1$ PRELIMINARIES

- **Definition:** 2 complex coordinates with  $(z^1, z^2) \rightarrow (\lambda z^1, \lambda z^2)$
- *Affine coordinates:* the ratio of the homogeneous coordinates,  $z_+, z_-$
- As a manifold  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  is  $S^2$ 
  - There are **two** coordinate patches

# $\mathbb{C}P^1$ PRELIMINARIES

- **Definition:** 2 complex coordinates with  $(z^1, z^2) \rightarrow (\lambda z^1, \lambda z^2)$
- *Affine coordinates:* the ratio of the homogeneous coordinates,  $z_+, z_-$
- As a manifold  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  is  $S^2$ 
  - There are **two** coordinate patches
- The group structure is  **$SU(2)/U(1)$**

# CHARGE QUANTIZATION

# CHARGE QUANTIZATION

- **Basic idea:** consider a **charged complex scalar** matter field

# CHARGE QUANTIZATION

- **Basic idea:** consider a **charged complex scalar** matter field
  - Transforms **linearly** under **U(1)** and **nonlinearly** under **SU(2)**

# CHARGE QUANTIZATION

- **Basic idea:** consider a **charged complex scalar** matter field
  - Transforms **linearly** under **U(1)** and **nonlinearly** under **SU(2)**
  - Explicitly determine transformation properties and require it be *well-defined everywhere*



# CHARGE QUANTIZATION

- **Basic idea:** consider a **charged complex scalar** matter field
  - Transforms **linearly** under **U(1)** and **nonlinearly** under **SU(2)**
  - Explicitly determine transformation properties and require it be *well-defined everywhere*
- We have a **Kähler** manifold and **holomorphic** action

# CHARGE QUANTIZATION

- **Basic idea:** consider a **charged complex scalar** matter field
  - Transforms **linearly** under **U(1)** and **nonlinearly** under **SU(2)**
  - Explicitly determine transformation properties and require it be *well-defined everywhere*
- We have a **Kähler** manifold and **holomorphic** action
  - Naturally protected with **supersymmetry** (but otherwise we do not rely on it for our derivation)

# QUANTIZE ME

# QUANTIZE ME

- **Transformations** (with usual **SU(2)** generators):

$$\delta_{T_+} = -\frac{1}{v} \mathbf{z}_+^2 \partial_{\mathbf{z}_+} ,$$

$$\delta_{T_0} = \alpha \chi \partial_\chi ,$$

$$\delta_{T_-} = v \partial_{\mathbf{z}_+} ,$$

$$\delta_{T_+} = F_+(\chi, \mathbf{z}_+) \partial_\chi ,$$

$$\delta_{T_0} = \mathbf{z}_+ \partial_{\mathbf{z}_+} ,$$

$$\delta_{T_-} = F_-(\chi, \mathbf{z}_+) \partial_\chi .$$

# QUANTIZE ME

- **Transformations** (with usual **SU(2)** generators):

$$\delta_{T_+} = -\frac{1}{v} \mathbf{z}_+^2 \partial_{\mathbf{z}_+} ,$$

$$\delta_{T_0} = \alpha \chi \partial_\chi ,$$

$$\delta_{T_-} = v \partial_{\mathbf{z}_+} ,$$

$$\delta_{T_+} = F_+(\chi, \mathbf{z}_+) \partial_\chi ,$$

$$\delta_{T_0} = \mathbf{z}_+ \partial_{\mathbf{z}_+} ,$$

$$\delta_{T_-} = F_-(\chi, \mathbf{z}_+) \partial_\chi .$$

- **Consistency conditions** from the **SU(2)** algebra give differential equations for  $F_\pm$

# QUANTIZE ME

- **Transformations** (with usual **SU(2)** generators):

$$\delta_{T_+} = -\frac{1}{v} \mathbf{z}_+^2 \partial_{\mathbf{z}_+} ,$$

$$\delta_{T_0} = \alpha \chi \partial_\chi ,$$

$$\delta_{T_-} = v \partial_{\mathbf{z}_+} ,$$

$$\delta_{T_+} = F_+(\chi, \mathbf{z}_+) \partial_\chi ,$$

$$\delta_{T_0} = \mathbf{z}_+ \partial_{\mathbf{z}_+} ,$$

$$\delta_{T_-} = F_-(\chi, \mathbf{z}_+) \partial_\chi .$$

- **Consistency conditions** from the **SU(2)** algebra give differential equations for  $F_\pm$
- Require **smooth transition** to the other hemisphere,  $\mathbf{z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{z}_-$

# QUANTIZE ME

- **Transformations** (with usual **SU(2)** generators):

$$\delta_{T_+} = -\frac{1}{v} \mathbf{z}_+^2 \partial_{\mathbf{z}_+} ,$$

$$\delta_{T_0} = \alpha \chi \partial_\chi ,$$

$$\delta_{T_-} = v \partial_{\mathbf{z}_+} ,$$

$$\delta_{T_+} = F_+(\chi, \mathbf{z}_+) \partial_\chi ,$$

$$\delta_{T_0} = \mathbf{z}_+ \partial_{\mathbf{z}_+} ,$$

$$\delta_{T_-} = F_-(\chi, \mathbf{z}_+) \partial_\chi .$$

- **Consistency conditions** from the **SU(2)** algebra give differential equations for  $F_\pm$
- Require **smooth transition** to the other hemisphere,  $\mathbf{z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{z}_-$
- This yields a **charge quantization** condition:  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/2$

# PHENOMENOLOGY



# PHENOMENOLOGY

- At first approximation, the **fractionally charged** and exactly **stable** Nambu-Goldstone boson (NGB) is massless

# PHENOMENOLOGY

- At first approximation, the **fractionally charged** and exactly **stable** Nambu-Goldstone boson (NGB) is massless
  - Gauging the **U(1)** (to **hypercharge**) gives a mass

# PHENOMENOLOGY

- At first approximation, the **fractionally charged** and exactly **stable** Nambu-Goldstone boson (NGB) is massless
  - Gauging the **U(1)** (to **hypercharge**) gives a mass
- With a **low scale** for supersymmetry, the Nambu-Goldstone boson's mass is protected to  $\sim \sqrt{\alpha} M_{susy}$

# PHENOMENOLOGY

- At first approximation, the **fractionally charged** and exactly **stable** Nambu-Goldstone boson (NGB) is massless
  - Gauging the **U(1)** (to **hypercharge**) gives a mass
- With a **low scale** for supersymmetry, the Nambu-Goldstone boson's mass is protected to  $\sim \sqrt{\alpha} M_{susy}$
- The NGB can be light enough to avoid cosmological constraints (and be some **DM**), but be **collider accessible**

# PHENOMENOLOGY

- At first approximation, the **fractionally charged** and exactly **stable** Nambu-Goldstone boson (NGB) is massless
  - Gauging the **U(1)** (to **hypercharge**) gives a mass
- With a **low scale** for supersymmetry, the Nambu-Goldstone boson's mass is protected to  $\sim \sqrt{\alpha} M_{susy}$
- The NGB can be light enough to avoid cosmological constraints (and be some **DM**), but be **collider accessible**
- Another possibility: **nothing** to see in the low energy theory (all scales  $\gg$  TeV)

# NUCLEAR PHYSICS

# NUCLEAR PHYSICS

- The properties of the NGB make it particularly useful in **nuclear physics**

# NUCLEAR PHYSICS

- The properties of the NGB make it particularly useful in **nuclear physics**
- **Catalyzing nuclear fusion:**
  - The NGB can form bound states (e.g. with deuterons) and **lower** the potential barrier
  - **Stability** ensures it can be reused, recouping production energy costs



# NUCLEAR PHYSICS

- The properties of the NGB make it particularly useful in **nuclear physics**
- **Catalyzing nuclear fusion:**
  - The NGB can form bound states (e.g. with deuterons) and **lower** the potential barrier
  - **Stability** ensures it can be reused, recouping production energy costs
- The stability, mass, and charge make the NGB a **probe** of nuclear structure

# GENERALIZATIONS

# GENERALIZATIONS

- We can extend this to  $\mathbb{C}P^k$  by putting in **mass terms** and flowing down to the  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  model

# GENERALIZATIONS

- We can extend this to  $\mathbb{C}P^k$  by putting in **mass terms** and flowing down to the  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  model
  - **Charge is quantized** in integer multiples of some fraction of the NGB charge
  - Phenomenologically the NGBs can have quantum numbers like the **Higgs**, a **squark**, ...

# GENERALIZATIONS

- We can extend this to  $\mathbb{C}P^k$  by putting in **mass terms** and flowing down to the  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  model
  - **Charge is quantized** in integer multiples of some fraction of the NGB charge
  - Phenomenologically the NGBs can have quantum numbers like the **Higgs**, a **squark**, ...
- This and other models which are also possible will be explored in a followup paper

# SUMMARY

# SUMMARY

- Charge quantization is an old problem, “solved” in the modern context of GUTs

# SUMMARY

- Charge quantization is an old problem, “solved” in the modern context of GUTs
- We avoid the GUT paradigm and quantize charge in nonlinear sigma models



# SUMMARY

- Charge quantization is an old problem, “solved” in the modern context of GUTs
- We avoid the GUT paradigm and quantize charge in nonlinear sigma models
- $CP^1$  as the SM hypercharge group has charge quantization and no stomach aches

# SUMMARY

- Charge quantization is an old problem, “solved” in the modern context of GUTs
- We **avoid the GUT paradigm and quantize charge** in nonlinear sigma models
- $CP^1$  as the SM hypercharge group has **charge quantization and no stomach aches**
- **Interesting phenomenology:** DM, catalyze **nuclear fusion**, nuclear probe (or “see nothing”)

# SUMMARY

- Charge quantization is an old problem, “solved” in the modern context of GUTs
- We **avoid the GUT paradigm and quantize charge** in nonlinear sigma models
- $\mathbb{C}P^1$  as the SM hypercharge group has **charge quantization and no stomach aches**
- **Interesting phenomenology:** DM, catalyze **nuclear fusion**, nuclear probe (or “see nothing”)
- Can **extend** to  $\mathbb{C}P^k$  and other models

# SELECTED REFERENCES

- S. Hellerman, J. Kehayias, and T.T. Yanagida, arXiv:1308.????
- P.A.M. Dirac, “Quantised Singularities in the Electromagnetic Field,” Proc. R. Soc. Lond.A 133 821, 60-72 (1931).
- H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, “Unity of All Elementary Particle Forces,” Phys.Rev.Lett. 32, 438–441 (1974).
- Fusion:
  - George Zweig, “Quark Catalysis of Exothermal Nuclear Reactions,” Science 201, 973–979 (1978)
  - B.L. Ioffe, L.B. Okun, Mikhail A. Shifman, and M.B. Voloshin, “Heavy Stable Particles and Cold Catalysis of Nuclear Fusion,” Acta Phys.Polon. B12, 229 (1981)
  - K. Hamaguchi, T. Hatsuda, and T.T. Yanagida, “Stau-catalyzed nuclear fusion,” (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0607256 [hep-ph]
  - Koichi Hamaguchi, Tetsuo Hatsuda, Masayasu Kamimura, and Tsutomu T. Yanagida, “Stau-Catalyzed d-t Nuclear Fusion,” (2012), arXiv:1202.2669 [hep-ph].